Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 13634. MEPA Analyst: Beiony Angus Phone: 617-626-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: William Stanley Business Park of the Berkshires: Site Development						
Street: 81 Kellogg Street						
Municipality: Pittsfield, MA 01201	Watershed: Housatonic					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42°27'2.37"N - 42°27'22.25"N					
S.W. Point: 644790 m.E., 4701318 m.N.	Longitude: 73°14'20.98"W - 73°13'45.64" W					
N.E. Point: 645598 m.E., 470198 m.N.						
Estimated commencement date:2006	Estimated completion date: 2016					
Approximate cost:\$40,100,000 - \$54,300,000	Status of project design: %complete					
Proponent: Pittsfield Economic Development	Authority (PEDA)					
Street: 100 Woodlawn Avenue						
Municipality: Pittsfield	State: MA Zip Code: 01201					
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Thomas Hickey	1					
Firm/Agency: PEDA	Street: 100 Woodlawn Avenue					
Municipality: Pittsfield	State: MA Zip Code: 01201					
Phone: (413) 494-7332 Fax: (413)	3) 494-2741					
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ✓ No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No) ✓ No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No) ✓ No						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: No. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) A Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) Yes No a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)						
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Massachusetts D.E.P. State Revolving Fund (\$5.5 million), 26 Acres						
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ✓Yes (Specify: USEPA) □No						
✓ Yes (Specily: USEPA) LING						

1344 USC 410, 403)1, Army Corps of Engineers (Federal); NPDES Construction (40 CFR Part 122 and Construction Permit 68), EPA (Federal); MHD Curb Cut Permit; Notice of Intent (Wetlands Protection Act, MGL c.131), Pittsfield Conservation Commission (Local); Building Permit (780 CMR 1.00), ISD (Local); Sewer Connection Permit (Pittsfield Subdivision Standards, Section 6.106), Pittsfield DPW (Local); Permit to Dig Up Public Ways (Pittsfield Zoning Ordinance Article 23-4.302), Pittsfield DPW (Local); Temporary Construction Site Dewatering Permit (Pittsfield Subdivision Standards), Pittsfield DPW (Local); Water and Electrical Connection (Pittsfield Subdivision Standards, Section 6.106), Pittsfield DPW (Local).

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):						
Land	Rare Species Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands					
Water ■	🗌 Wastewate	r 🖊	Transportati	on		
Energy [Air		Solid & Haz	ardous Waste		
ACEC [Regulations	s 🗍	Historical &	Archaeological		
			Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
L	AND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	26 Acres			Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		26 Acres		Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	22 Acres	-17 Acres	15 Acres	401 Water Quality Certification		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval		
STRU	JCTURES			✓ DEP or MWRA		
				Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
Gross square footage	0 sf ²	225,000 sf	225,000 sf	✓ Other Permits		
				(including Legislative Approvals) - Specify:		
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Access Permit for Driveways & Curb Cuts (MGL 81, 2 MHD SPOS), MHD		
Maximum height (in feet)	60	0	60	Consent Decree, Appendix L, DEP		
TRANS	PORTATION			Compliance with MCP (MGL c.21e), DEP		
Vehicle trips per day	Approx 1,000	2,560	3,560	Digsafe System Notification (220		
	(Historical # is 6,500)			CMR 99.00), Digsafe System		

¹ Potential need, depending on final construction figuration.

² This project began in 2002. Building demolition is currently underway.

Parking spaces	875	-358	517	Compliance with Archiectural Access for Handicap (521 CMR 2.00-3.00), Architectural Access			
WAST	EWATER			Board			
WAS							
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	250,000 (estimated)	-180,000 gpd	70,000 gpd				
GPD water withdrawal							
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	200,000 gpd (estimated)	130,000 gpd	70,000 gpd				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	A) 0.029 mi. B) 0.080 mi. C) 0.041 mi. ³	A) 0 mi. B) 0 mi. C) 0 mi.	A) 0.029 mi. B) 0.080 mi. C) 0.041 mi.				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pro- natural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify	in accordance v ervation restricti	vith Article 97?	No	·			
Yes (Specify) <i>\nu</i>	√ No				
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes (Specify) No							
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?							
If yes, does the project involve any de archaeological resources?		,		ntoried historic or			
☐Yes (Specify)	∠ No				
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONME			-	ent to an Area of Critical			
☐Yes (Specify)	™ No				

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

a) Project Site Description

The project site is located immediately to the east of Pittsfield's central business district, in the Morningside section of town, a relatively economically depressed section of the City. It is bounded on the north by Tyler Street; to the south be East Street, to the west by Silver Lake Boulevard and; on the east roughly by Dartmouth Street. It is bifurcated in the North-South direction by a commercial rail line and in the East-West direction by Woodlawn Ave.

The site makes up part of the former Stanley Electric Company and, later, General Electric (GE) transformer manufacturing complex. It has served as a major center for the manufacture of electrical equipment from 1900 until its closing in 1986. Due to GE's use of carcinogenic poly-

³ The category A refers to potable water mains, which are separate from B, fire protection mains. C refers to sewer mains.

chlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from the 1930s to the 1970s, the nearby Housatonic River was found to be contaminated from GE discharge pipes. Under the terms of a Consent Decree, the USEPA, DEP and GE are addressing the contamination at the plant site and three Housatonic River reaches and are currently implementing a threefold strategy of *remediation*, *restoration*, and *redevelopment*, the latter in cooperation with the City of Pittsfield and PEDA. PEDA has demolished the existing buildings to facilitate the site's redevelopment as a business park.

The objective of this MEPA submission relates to PEDA's plans, as articulated in its 2004 master plan to redevelop the site for up 700,000 square feet of commercial use—offices, light and heavy manufacturing. Redevelopment of this brownfield site was conceived of by GE, the City of Pittsfield, state and federal agencies, as a means of providing the City of Pittsifeld with opportunity to redevelop the job base that was lost with GE's 1986 departure from this site. Alternative uses for the site have only be considered with the context of type of commercial activity. Current market conditions and limited road access between the site and major highways make it unlikely to attract heavy manufacturing, though that type of employment is attractive to the City and region. Office uses to be constructed at this site will be of a quality not otherwise available in downtown Pittsfield, thus avoiding a phenomenon of competing within the City limits for office jobs.

The site has been designed to rely on East Street as its prime road access in order to maximize opportunity for reliance on public transportation and use the arterial route rather than neighborhood streets to the west and north of the site. Traffic impacts of the redeveloped site will be less than its previous use as its current development plan is approximately half of the previous square footage with parking supplied on site rather than the previous practice of using on and off-site lots and neighborhood streets for parking.

Provision of utilities will be through pre-existing systems, both municipal and private. Water use, wastewater and stormwater will have less impact than for the predecessor development. To the maximum extent practical, stormwater will be conveyed to on-site BMPs, thus minimizing the amount and quality of stormwater leaving the site.

b) On-Site and Off-Site Alternatives

No other sites were considered for commercial redevelopment. This is a brownfield site with rail access and a location that is on a state road and convenient to downtown Pittsfield. It is zoned for industry and abuts industry to its east. The identified use is ideal for this location.

The project did consider a design which would not need a MHD curb cut, but this would limit options for building locations and total developable square footage, (which are already severely restricted by EREs). Also, PEDA could pay for stormwater infrastructure with PEDA funds, but that would deplete available funds necessary for construction and tenant incentives.

c) Potential On-Site and Off-Site Mitigation Measures

The proposed relocation of the existing curb cut will not impact road safety, therefore offsite mitigation relating to this permit is not contemplated.

EPA is undertaking clean-up of the Housatonic River which is near to the project site, to its south. Between the River and the project site, EPA is in the process of removing buildings and remediating contaminated land for future use as open lawns and a recreation field. The site will not impact these lands as it will rely on municipal sewer systems and a modernized, improved stormwater management system as will be proposed in the site's NPDES permit.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

I. Thresholds / Permits

A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) ____ Yes
No; if yes, specify each threshold:

II. Impacts and Permits